14100Z

BEST AVAILABLE COPY





United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTED STATES LEEP STOLENT OF COMMERCE Deltal Service Patrol and Traducyte Office Addres CHANTSCORES FOR PATENTS CO Des 1629 America, Timbo 2015-1-73

רא אמון אלו אבר	PILINGIDATE	PIKST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTURNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTINUE (ATTOM OR
09/503 656	02/14/2000	William E. Bannesweiger	50065	<i>0</i> 900
75=0 ange-zaige			EXAMINER	
WILLIAM E. BAUMZWEIGER, MD			TRAVERS, RESSELL'S	
18399 VENTU	RA BEVD.			
SUTE 245			ARTURET	paper number
TARZAŊA, C	A 91356		1917	

DATE MAILED: 07-06-7004

Please find below an ilor attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/503,658	BAUNZWEIGER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Ezaminer	Art Unit	_
	Russell Travers, J.D.,Ph.D	1617	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pours on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address -	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FEPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be evailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. effect SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this currentmication. If the period for really specified above is less three thirty (20) days, a rep- If NO period for really is specified above, the materialism statutory period Failure to reply which the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the office later them three materials after the mailing springs satisful term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	196(á). In no avont, hambeur, may á réply bi dy willich the statistary minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire STX (8) MOANTHS (8)	ස (imaly filed days will be කාර්ථායගේ මාත්ර rom Day nating dails of this කාර්තාරයේමය.	-
Status	~		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	mma)		
	s action is non-final.		1
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ROE Except for formal matters	omsecution as to the medic le	
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex perte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11.	. 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	• . ======,,,		}:
4) Claim(s) 86-104 is/are pending in the applicati			
4a) Of the above claim(s) isfare withdra			· 1
5) Claim(s) Signe allowed.	withom consideration,		ľ
6) Claim(s) 86-104 is lare rejected.			
7) Claim(e) is are objected to,			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		F
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	s.		ŀ
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) scc	ediad or bill objected to by th	a Etmoine	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in absorance.	on 37 CED 1 BE(a)	Ì
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	nhinded in See 27 CCD 4 4744.5	-
11) The cath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	reminer. Note the attached Office	CB Action or farm PTO-157	ŀ
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		101020.	
•			}
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fcreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	-
1. Certified capies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received,		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	inave been received in Applica	alion No	1
application from the International Sureau	ily cocomenis nave been recei il (PCT Ride 17 2/a))	veo in this national Stage	1
 See the attached dutaited Office action for a list 	of the certified copies not receiv	ved.	
रीवर्णनमध्नः(p)			
Notice of References Cited (PTD-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sucoma	~ (DTO 449)	1
Notice of Draftsperson's Parint Drawing Review (PTOLOGE)	Paper No(s)/Mail (Date	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(symatically)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Potent Application (PTO-152)	IDIT
Petert and 1 molecule Umber OL-026 (Roy, 1-04)		LAII	االرا

Art Unit: 1617

Page 2

The amendment filed October 28, 2003 has been received and entered into the file.

Applicant's arguments filed October 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant's statement regarding those claims presented are incorrect. Applicants aver claims 86-94 are resented, yet claims numbered 86-104 are presented.

Claims 86-104 are presented for examination.

Applicant's election with traverse of group II, claim 5 in Paper No. 16 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that are not set forth. This is not found persuasive because traversal must factually based. Absent an identifiable reason for traversal, objections to the restriction are unconvincing.

The requirement is still deamed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 86-104 will be examiner to the extent they read on the elected subject matter.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Page 3

The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to make and/or use the invention, and thereby failing to provide an enabling disclosure.

The instant specification fails to provide information that would allow the skilled artisan to practice the instant invention without undue experimentation. Attention is directed to *In 19 Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (CAFC 1988) at 1404 where the court set forth the eight factors to consider when assessing if a disclosure would have required undue experimentation. Citing *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546 (BdApls 1986) at 547 the court recited eight factors:

- 1) the quantity of experimentation necessary,
- 2) the amount of direction or guidance provided.
- 3) the presence of absence of working examples,
- 4) the nature of the invention.
- 5) the state of the prior art.
- 6) the relative skill of those in the art
- 7) the predictability of the art, and
- 8) the breadth of the claims.

Applicant fails to set forth the criteria that defines neither those disorders of the central nervous system possessing those symptomologies herein envisioned, nor those therapeutic agrants to be employed "that block calcium intake channels on body cells", "substantially like nimodipine and felodipine", "aid in the inhibition of neural activity in the brain, substantially like gabatril and tigabine, together with and anti-epileptic drug to

Art Unit: 1617

Page 4

increase sensitivity of receptor neuron complexes"*, "provides immune system equilibrium", "inhibits body actions that lead to blood clotting", "acts as a chelating agent", or acts "to relieves at least one symptom of psychosis". Additionally, Applicant fails to provide information allowing the skilled artisan to ascertain these conditions, or compounds required to provide therapy for these conditions without undue experimentation. In the instant case, only a limited number of disorders of the central nervous system are recited; additionally, scant guidance as to those agents useful to *block calciu π intake channels on body cells (2)", *aid in the inhibition of neural activity in the brain(')", "provides immune system equilibrium(2)", "inhibits body actions that lead to blood clotting(1)", "acts as a chelating agent"(1), or acts "to relieves at least one symptom of psychosis(1)" examples are set forth, thereby falling to provide sufficient working examples. Examiner notes Applicants claim all antiviral, antifungal and antibiotic drugs, for treating any disorder of the central nervous system, yet recite in the specification recite 2 entiviral compounds, 2 antifungel compounds and no antibiotic compounds. It is noted that these examples are neither exhaustive, nor define the class of compounds required. The pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. The instant claims read on all disorders of the central nervous system, save cancer, all therapeutic agents that block calcium intake channels on body cells ', "aid in the inhibition of neural activity in the brain", "provides immune system equilibrium", "inhibits body actions that lead to blood clotting", "acts as a chelating agent", acts "to relieves at least one symptom of psychosis*, an antiviral compound, an antifungal compound, or an antibiotic,

Art Unit: 1517

Page 5

necessitating an exhaustive search for the embodiments suitable to practice the claimed invention. Applicants fail to provide information sufficient to practice the claimed invention, absent undue experimentation.

Claims 86-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Claims #6-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims £6-104 are rendered indefinite by the phrases "a disorder of the central nervous system" possessing those symptoms herein envisioned, drugs "that block calcium intake channels on body cells", "aid in the inhibition of neural activity in the brain" "substantially like nimodipine and felodipine". "substantially like gabatril and tigabine, together with and anti-eplieptic drug to increase sensitivity of receptor neuron complexes". "provides immune system equilibrium", "inhibits body actions that lead to blood clotting", "acts as a chelating agent", acts "to relieves at least one symptom of psychosis", an antiviral compound, an antifungal compound, or an antibiotic, and thereby failing to clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Criteria defining diseases which are "a disorder of the central nervous system", or drugs "that block calcium intake channels on body cells", "aid in the inhibition of neural activity in the brain", "provides immune system equilibrium", "inhibits body actions that lead to blood clotting", "acts as a chelating agent", acts "to relieves at least one symptom of psychosis", an antiviral compound, an antifungal compound, or an



Art Unit: 1617

Page 6

antibiotic are not set forth in the specification, thereby failing to provide information defining the instant inventions metes and bounds. Applicant's terms fail to clearly define the subject matter encompassed by the instant claims, thus are properly rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph.

connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —
(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year . prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 86, 87, 89,90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Baumzweiger (3).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

ALL DU A

Application/Control Number: 09/503,656

Page 7

Art Unit; 1617

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 85, 87, 89,90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Baumzweiger (4) and Baumzweiger (3).

Baumzwaiger (4) teaches the Gulf War Syndrome (GWS) as a "Brainstem-Limbic" disorder possessing those symptomologies herein envisioned. Baumzweiger (3) teach the claimed compounds as old and well known in combination with various pharmaceutical carriers and excipients in dosage forms. Taught by Baumzweiger (3), at pages 5-8, as useful concomitantly for treatment of "Gulf War Syndrome" are various calcium channel blockers, gabatil, as useful for treating "a disorder of the central nervous system", and thus useful as an anti-epileptic compound, or a useful "aid in the inhibition of neural activity in the brain", celebrex, as an agent that "provides immune system equilibrium". EDTA, as a compound that "inhibits body actions that lead to blood clotting", or "acts as a chelating agent", with klonapin, acting "to relieves at least one symptom of psychosis" AZT, as an antiviral compound, Ozithromax, as an antibiotic, vitamin C, to deal with oxidative stress, and provides direction to the skilled artisan in the diagnosis of this malady and outlines these compounds use for providing treatment. Additionally, Baumzweiger teaches oxidative stress as integral to GWS.

Application/Control Number, 09/503,656 Art Unit: 1517

Page 8

renders obvious the use of oxidative compounds to therapy of such conditions (see pages 3-5). These medicament are taught as useful for treating gulf war syndrome, viewed by the skilled artisan as indistinguishable from those conditions herein claimed. Claims 86, 87, 89,90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 97, 98, 99, 101, 102, 103, 104, and the primary reference, differ as to:

the concomitant employment of these medicaments.

It is generally considered prima facis obvious to combine two, or more, compounds each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a composition which is to be used for the very same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. As shown by the recited teachings, the instant claims define nothing more than the concomitant use of conventional therapeutic agents, taught by the cited prior art as useful for the same purpose. It would follow that the recited claims define prima facie obvious subject matter. Cf. In re Kerhoven, 626 F.2d 648, 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Examiner sees those maladies herein claimed and those recited previously as indistinguishable. Additionally, Examiner notes Baumzweiger (3) and Baumzweiger (4) publication dates are listed in the body of the text, and on the presented 1449, both being published in 1998. Examiner notes the instant Application's filing date of February 14, 2000. Absent information to the contrary, these publications would be

Art Unit: 1617

Page 9

published more than a year prior to the instant filling date, hence anticipatory, and obviating.

Attention is directed to General Electric Company v. Wabash Appliance Corporation of all 37 USPQ 466 (US 1938), at 469, speaking to functional language at the point of novelty as herein employed: "the vice of a functional claim exists not only when a claims is "wholly" functional, if that is ever true, but when the inventor is painstaking when he recites what has already been seen, and then uses conveniently functional language at the exact point of novelty". Functional language at the point of novelty, as herein employed by Applicants, is further admonished in University of California v. Ell Lilly and Co. 43 USPQ2d 1398 (CAFC 1997) at 1406: stating this usage does "little more than outlin[e] goals appellants hope the recited invention achieves and the problems the invention will hopefully ameliorate. Applicants functional language at the point of riovelty fails to meet the requirements set forth under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. Claims employing functional language at the point of novelty, such as Applicants', neither provide those elements required to practice the inventions, nor "inform the public during the life of the paterit of the limits of the monopoly asserted." General Electric Company v. Wabash Appliance Corporation et supra, at 468. Claims thus constructed provide no guidance as to inedicaments employed, levels for providing therapeutic benefit, or provide notice for those practicing in the art, limits of protection. Simply stated, the presented claims are an invitation to experiment, not reciting a specific medicament regimen useful for practicing tipe instant invention.



Application/Control Number: 09/503,656
Art Unit: 1617

Page 10

Those recitations of function fail inform the skilled artisen as to the instant claims metes and bounds, thereby failing to meet Applicants' burden under 35 USC 12, second paragraph.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of eutometically extending the shortened startory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ALIVISORY ACTION IS MAILED. AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell Travers, J.D., Ph.D whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 09/503,656 Art Unit: 1617

Page 11

is 703-308-1603. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Smenivasan Padmanabhan, can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-0631.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rössell Travers J.D., Ph.D. Pilmary Examiner Art Unit 1617

IOI DI A

Perillement a plus ston i	(A) harden alled	* * 	(•	
Barrier of pluc sign ((*) waids the last		Print and District	Promise of Contract C	\$ (40) - (40) (40) - (40)
		75	Tipe Standay	BY 1813, 256	and resident
BUMEN VE	ATTORNEY O	्र विभारको		*V147010	
AUTHORIZA	tion of Agei	R	गुंबर्ध मार्ग्यमध्य	THE CO E. Berringer	
THE THE PARTY OF T	HON OF AIGE		Lit Unit	2775	
		7 / 1	y Dociet Number	Asterias, III.	
f hareby appoint		4 : 1113	A COLUMN TO A COLU	mes	
THE CAN APPROVE	-		' !		
Pratitioners	at Customer Number			Piece Customer	.
Preciboner(s)	, : .	- 14 45		Nixinitier Ber Codu Label here	
		1-4.1. (16)			-J
Pairick F. 1	riens Frien	112": 1911	<u>{</u>	egistration (Vpriber]
]		<u> </u>		24,318]
			· · —		
		- : / [. : [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] []
		C 4; 4(g);			
		Compression Constitution	il ion dentified at	ञ्चल, कार्य क transact औं अवकारा,	
Please change the co	O'CONTRACTOR MAN	C S : ((E))	il ion dentified at		
Please change the co		PELICE OF SEPTIMENT OF THE SET OF SET	il ion dentified at]
Please change life or The et one-ment OR	mespercence addressioned Customer Num	Trademiers contains	il ion dentified at	Sellen (a: - Prog Codorer	
Please change life or The et one-ment OR	O'CONTRACTOR MAN	PELICE OF SEPTIMENT OF THE SET OF SET	illion identified at	Pleas Customer	
Please change life or The et one-ment OR Precifione((a) at OR	onespercence addressoned Customer Number.	recrease applications for the attornations and the attornations attornations.	illion identified at	Sellen (a: - Prog Codorer	
Please change life or The et one-ment OR Precificner(s) at OR Firm or Individual Hame	mespercence addressioned Customer Num	recreation applications for the above	illion identified at	Pleas Customer	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificmer(s) at OR Firm or Individual Name	Customer Number Parick P, infight arign & Long	PEUTOS OF PROFICE TO SERVICE OF THE PROFICE OF THE PROFICE OF THE PEUTOS	dentified at connected the dentified applications	Pleas Customer	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane (a) at OR Firm or Individual Plant Address	Customer Number Parick F. Infight Styles & Long 53 West Fight So	PELICA DIE Applica Trudemis k Core as for the above	dentified at dentified at dentified applications	Pleas Customer	
Please change life or The et one-ment OR Preclitioner(a) at OR Firm or Individual Plant Address Address City	Customer Number Parick F, tiright Sty West Fifth Some	PELICA OPE Applica Trustement Core as for the above five	dentified at dentified at dentified applications applicat	Piew Customer Manager Bar Code Lichal Pany	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificment(s) at OR Firm or Individual Planto Address	Particle F. Intight Street Street Printer F. Intight Street E. Long Las Angeles United States	PELICO CONTROL DE CONT	dentified at connected the dentified application of the dentified applicat	Pleas Customer Pleas Customer Institutes Bar Code Lacial Rang Zip year/f	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane ((a) at OR Firm or Individual Hemo Address City Country Telephane	Customer Number Parick F, tiright Sty West Fifth Some	PEUTOS CONTROL MANOR CONTROL M	dentified application of the second s	Pleas Customer Pleas Customer Institutes Bar Code Lacial Rang Zip year/f	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane ((a) at OR Firm or Individual Hemo Address City Country Telephane I am the:	Patrick F. Infight Street States Facility Street Street States Les Augulo United States ZU 422-7776	PELICO CONTROL OF CONT	dentified at connected the dentified application of the dentified applicat	Pleas Customer Pleas Customer Institutes Bar Code Lacial Rang Zip year/f	
Please change life or The et one-ment OR Precificate(a) at OR Firm or Individual Planta Address Address City Country Telephyme I am the: Applicant/Inves	Customer Number. Customer Number. Partick F, strigge Strigge & Long 635 West Fight Som Lan Augula United States ZUS-437-7776	PECULOS OF PROPERTY CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY CONTROL OF	Sub CA	Pleas Customer Pleas Customer Institutes Bar Code Lacial Rang Zip year/f	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane ((a) at OR Firm or Individual Name Address City Country Talephane I am the: Assignee of re-	Parick P. Integre Stript & Long 633 West Fifth Som Lat Auguler United States 213-827-7776	Trusterior contraction of the second of the	Subs CA	Pleas Customer Pleas Customer Institutes Bar Code Lacial Rang Zip year/f	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane ((a) at OR Firm or Individual Name Address City Country Talephane I am the: Assignee of re-	Customer Number. Customer Number. Petrick F, tiright Petrick F, tiright Stript & Long 633 West Fifth Soul Las Augula Usited States 213-427-7716 andor. coord of the entire Inteller 37 CFR 3.73(b) is	Trademist Con-	Sub CA Fee 213-621 13.71. PTO/SB/96).	Please Conformer Please Conformer Proper Bar Code Label Pary Zip 98071	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane ((a) at OR Finn or Individual Hemo Address City Country Telephane I em the: Assignee of re- Stalament und	Parick F. Infight Street Customer Number Customer Number Parick F. Infight Street E. Leng 635 West Fight Some Len Augulor United States 213-432-7776 antot. coord of the entire Inteler 37 CFR 3,73(0) is String Turk	Trademisk Cont	Sub CA Fee 213-621 13.71. PTO/SB/96).	Please Conformer Please Conformer Proper Bar Code Label Pary Zip 98071	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane (a) at OR Firm or Individual Plant Address City Country Talephane I am the: Assignee of re- Stalement und	Customer Number. Customer Number. Petrick F, tiright Petrick F, tiright Stript & Long 633 West Fifth Soul Las Augula Usited States 213-427-7716 andor. coord of the entire Inteller 37 CFR 3.73(b) is	Trademist Con-	Sub CA Fee 213-621 13.71. PTO/SB/96).	Please Conformer Please Conformer Proper Bar Code Label Pary Zip 98071	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane ((a) at OR Finn or Individual Hemo Address City Country Telephane I em the: Assignee of re- Stalament und	Parick F. Infight Street Customer Number Customer Number Parick F. Infight Street E. Leng 635 West Fight Some Len Augulor United States 213-432-7776 antot. coord of the entire Inteler 37 CFR 3,73(0) is String Turk	Trusterior contraction of the second contrac	Sub CA Fee 213-621 13.71. PTO/SB/96).	Please Conformer Please Conformer Proper Bar Code Label Pary Zip 98071	
Please change life or The et ore-ment OR Precificane (a) at OR Firm or Individual Plant Address City Country Talephane I am the: Assignee of re- Stalement und	Partick F, strigger Partick F	Trademirk Officers of the above the	Bub CA Fee 113-62: 1 3.71. PTUSB/96).	Piece Coplorer Piece Coplorer Preser Bar Code Label Fere 219 98071	

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
D BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ other:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.